# Extremal Problems for Second Order Hyperbolic Systems with Boundary Conditions Involving Multiple Time-Varying Delays

Adam Kowalewski

AGH University of Science and Technology, Cracow

Abstract: Extremal problems for second order hyperbolic systems with multiple time-varying lags are presented. An optimal boundary control problem for distributed hyperbolic systems with boundary conditions involving multiple time-varying lags is solved. The time horizon is fixed. Making use of Dubovitski-Milyutin scheme, necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality for the Neumann problem with the quadratic performance functionals and constrained control are derived.

**Keywords:** boundary control, second order hyperbolic systems, multiple time-varying delays

#### 1. Introduction

Extremal problems are now playing an event-increasing role in applications of mathematical control theory in physics, automatic control and mechanics. It has been discovered that, notwithstanding the great diversity of these problems, they can be approached by a unified functional-analytic approach, first suggested by Dubovitski and Milyutin.

Such problems have been presented by Igor V. Girsanov in his monography [6] concerning mathematical theory of extremum problems.

His book [6] was apparently the first systematic exposition of a unified approach to the theory of extremal problems. This approach was based on the ideas of Dubovitski and Milyutin concerning extremum problems in the presence of constraints [2–4]. Dubovitski and Milyutin found a necessary condition for an extremum in the form of an equation set down in the language of functional analysis.

For example, in the paper [7], the Dubovitski-Milyutin method was applied for solving optimal control problems for parabolic-hyperbolic systems. Making use of the Dubovitski-Milyutin method necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality for the Dirichlet problem with the quadratic performance functional and constrained control are derived.

In the papers [8–14], the Dubovitski-Milyutin method was applied for solving boundary optimal control problems for the case of time lag parabolic equations [8] and for the case of parabolic equations involving time-varying lags [9, 10]. [11], multiple

#### Autor korespondujący:

Adam Kowalewski, ako@agh.edu.pl

#### Artykuł recenzowany

nadesłany 02.01.2023 r., przyjęty do druku 30.03.2023 r.



Zezwala się na korzystanie z artykułu na warunkach licencji Creative Commons Uznanie autorstwa 3.0

time-varying lags [12], and integral time lags [13, 14] respectively. Sufficient conditions for the existence of a unique solution of such parabolic equations [8–14] are presented.

Consequently, in the papers [8–14], the linear quadratic problems of parabolic systems with time lags given in various forms (constant time lags [8], time-varying lags [9–11], multiple time-varying lags [12], integral time lags [13, 14] etc.) are solved.

Subsequently, in the papers [16, 17] the linear quadratic problems of optimal boundary control for hyperbolic systems with constant and time-varying delays are solved.

Making use of the Milyutin-Dubovitski approach [10], necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality with the quadratic performance indexes and constrained boundary control are derived for the Neumann problem [16, 17].

Extremal problems for multiple time-varying delays hyperbolic systems are investigated. The purpose of this paper is to show the use of Dubovitski-Milyutin theorem [10] in solving optimal control problems for second order hyperbolic systems.

As an example, an optimal boundary control problem for a system described by a linear partial differential equation of hyperbolic type with the Neumann boundary condition involving a multiple time-varying lag is considered.

Such equations constitute, in a linear approximation, a universal mathematical model for many processes in which transmission signals at a certain distance with electric, hydraulic and other long lines take place.

In the processes mentioned above time-delayed feedback signals are introduced at the boundary of a system's spatial domain. Then the signal at the boundary of a system's spatial domain at any time depends on the signal emitted earlier with various velocity. This leads to the boundary conditions involving multiple time-varying delays.

Sufficient conditions for the existence of a unique solution of such hyperbolic equation with the Neumann boundary condition are presented.

The performance functionals have the quadratic form. The time horizon is fixed. Finally, we impose some constraints on the boundary control. Making use of the Dubovitski-Milyutin

theorem [10], necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality with the quadratic performance functionals and constrained control are derived for the Neumann problem.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Consider now the distributed-parameter system described by the following multiple time-varying delay hyperbolic equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} + A(t)y = f \qquad x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T)$$
 (1)

$$y(x,0) = y_1(x) x \in \Omega (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial y(x,t)}{\partial t} = y_2(x) \qquad x \in \Omega$$
 (3)

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta_A} = \sum_{s=1}^{l} y(x, t - k_s(t)) + Gv \quad x \in \Gamma, t \in (0, T)$$
(4)

$$y(x,t') = \Psi_0(x,t') \qquad x \in \Gamma, t' \in [-\Delta(0),0)$$
 (5)

where:  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  – a bounded, open set with boundary  $\Gamma$  which is a  $C^{\infty}$  – manifold of dimension (n-1). Locally,  $\Omega$  is totally on one side of  $\Gamma$ .

$$\begin{split} y &\equiv y \left( x, t; v \right), \quad f \equiv f \left( x, t \right), \quad v \equiv v \left( x, t \right), \\ Q &= \Omega \times \left( 0, T \right), \qquad \overline{Q} = \overline{\Omega} \times \left[ 0, T \right], \\ \Sigma &= \Gamma \times \left( 0, T \right), \qquad \Sigma_0 = \Gamma \times \left[ -\Delta \left( 0 \right), 0 \right) \end{split}$$

 $k_s(t)$  are functions representing multiple time-varying delays,  $\Psi_0$  is an initial function defined on  $\Sigma_0$ , G is a linear continuous operator on  $L^2(\Sigma)$  into

$$(H^{5/2}\Xi^{5/2}(\Sigma))' \text{ with } v \in L^2(\Sigma) \text{ and } Gv \in H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma).$$

$$\Delta(0) = \max\{k_1(0), k_2(0), \dots, k_l(0)\}$$
(6)

The hyperbolic operator  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + A(t)$  in the state equation (1)

satisfies the hypothesis of Section 1, Chapter 4 ([20], Vol. 2, p. 2) and A(t) is given by

$$A(t)y = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial y(x,t)}{\partial x_{j}} \right)$$
and the functions  $a_{ij}(x,t)$  satisfy the condition
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t) \varphi_{i} \varphi_{j} \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{i}^{2},$$

$$\alpha > 0, \quad \forall (x,t) \in \overline{Q}, \quad \forall \varphi_{i} \in R, \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji} \ \forall i,j$$

$$(7)$$

where  $a_{i}(x,t)$  are real  $C^{\infty}$  functions defined on  $\overline{Q}$  (closure of Q).

The equations (1)–(5) constitute a Neumann problem. Then the left-hand side of (4) is written in the form

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta_A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t)\cos(n,x_i)\frac{\partial y(x,t)}{\partial x_j} = q(x,t)$$
(8)

where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_A}$  is a normal derivative at  $\Gamma,$  directed towards the

exterior of  $\Omega$ ,  $\cos(n,x_i)$  is an *i*-th direction cosine of *n*, *n*-being the normal at  $\Gamma$  exterior to  $\Omega$  and

$$q(x,t) = \sum_{s=1}^{l} y(x,t - k_s(t)) + Gv(x,t)$$
(9)

First we shall prove the existence of a unique solution of the mixed initial-boundary value problem (1)–(5) defined by transposition, i.e.

$$\langle y, u'' + Au \rangle = L(u) \qquad \forall u \in X^1(Q)$$
 (10)

where

$$L(u) = \langle f, u \rangle + \langle g, u \rangle + \langle y_2, u(0) \rangle - \langle y_1, u'(0) \rangle \tag{11}$$

and we denote by  $X^1(Q)$  the space described by the solutions u of the following adjoint problem

$$u'' + Au = \Phi \quad x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T),$$

$$u(x, T) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$u'(x, T) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta_A} = 0 \quad x \in \Gamma), t \in (0, T).$$
(12)

where:  $\Phi \in H_{0,0}^{1,2}(Q) = \text{closure of } \mathcal{D}(Q) \text{ in } H^{1,2}(Q).$ 

For this purpose, we define the following space ([20], vol. 2, Chapter 5, p. 131)

$$\mathcal{D}_{4-D^{2}}^{-1}(Q) = \left\{ y \mid y \in H^{-1,-2}(Q), y'' + Ay \in \Xi^{-3,-3}(Q) \right\}$$
 (13)

where the spaces  $H^{-1,-2}(Q)$  and  $\Xi^{-3,-3}(Q)$  are defined by (9.5) and (10.4) of Chapter 5 in ([20], vol. 2) respectively. Under the norm of the graph  $\mathcal{D}_{A+D_i^2}^{-1}(Q)$  is a Hilbert space.

Then, the solution of (10) belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{A+D^2}^{-1}(Q)$ .

Let  $t - k_s(t)$  for s = 1, ..., l be strictly increasing functions,  $k_s(t)$  being non-negative in [0,T] and also being  $C^1$  functions. Then, there exist the inverse functions of  $t - k_s(t)$ .

Let us denote  $\lambda$   $(t) \stackrel{df}{=} t - k$  (t), then the inverse functions of  $\lambda_s(t)$  have the forms  $t = \xi_s(r_s) = r_s + q_s(r_s)$ , where  $q_s(r_s)$  are time-varying predictions. Let  $\xi_s(t)$  be the inverse functions of  $t - k_s(t)$ .

Thus we shall define the following iteration

$$\hat{t}_{0} = 0 
\hat{t}_{1} = \min \{ \xi_{1}(0), \xi_{2}(0), ..., \xi_{l}(0) \} 
\hat{t}_{2} = \min \{ \xi_{1}(\hat{t}_{1}), \xi_{2}(\hat{t}_{1}), ..., \xi_{l}(\hat{t}_{1}) \} 
... 
\hat{t}_{j} = \min \{ \xi_{1}(\hat{t}_{j-1}), \xi_{2}(\hat{t}_{j-1}), ..., \xi_{l}(\hat{t}_{j-1}) \}$$
(14)

We shall restrict our considerations to the case where  $v \in L^2(\Sigma)$ . For simplicity, we shall introduce the following notations

$$E_{\boldsymbol{j}} \! \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} \! \left( \hat{t}_{\boldsymbol{j}-1}, \hat{t}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \right) \!, \quad Q_{\boldsymbol{j}} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times E_{\boldsymbol{j}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{j}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \times E_{\boldsymbol{j}},$$

for j = 1, 2, ...

The existence of a unique solution of the mixed initial-boundary value problem (1)–(5) on the cylinder Q can be proved using a constructive method, i.e. by first solving problem (10) in the subcylinder  $Q_1$ , and in turn in  $Q_2$  etc., until the procedure cover the whole cylinder Q. In this way the solution in the previous step determines the next one.

Consequently, using the Theorem 10.1 of [20] (vol. 2, p. 132) we can prove the following result.

**Theorem 1** Let  $y_1, y_2, \Psi_0, v$  and f be given, with

$$y_1 \in \Xi^{-3/2}(\Omega), \qquad y_2 \in \Xi^{-5/2}(\Omega),$$

$$\Psi_0 \in H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma_0), \qquad v \in L^2(\Sigma), \qquad f \in \Xi^{-3,-3}(Q).$$

Then, there exists a unique solution  $y \in \mathcal{D}_{A+D_{i}^{2}}^{-1}(Q)$  for the problem (1)–(5) defined by transposition (10). Moreover,  $y\left(\bullet,\hat{t}_{j-1}\right) \in \Xi^{-3/2}(\Omega)$ , and  $y'\left(\bullet,\hat{t}_{j}\right) \in \Xi^{-5/2}(\Omega)$  for  $j=1,\ldots$ .

We refer to Lions and Magenes ([20], vol. 2) for the definition and properties on  $H^{r,s}(Q)$  and  $(H^{r,s})$ ' respectively. In the sequel, we shall fix  $f \in \Xi^{-3,-3}(Q)$ .

## 3. Problem Formulation. Optimization Theorems

In this paper we shall consider the optimal boundary control problem i.e.  $v \in L^2(\Sigma)$ .

Let us denote by  $Y = \mathcal{D}_{A+D_i^2}^{-1}(Q)$  the space of states and by  $U = L^2(\Sigma)$  the space of controls. The time horizon T is fixed in our problem.

The performance functional is given by

$$I(v) = \lambda_1 \|y(v) - z_d\|_{H^{-1,-2}(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda_2 \langle Nv, v \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)}$$
 (15)

where  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0$ ,  $z_d$  is a given element in  $H^{-1,-2}(Q)$ , and N is a strictly positive linear operator on  $L^2(\Sigma)$  into  $L^2(\Sigma)$ .

Finally, we assume the following constraints on the control:

$$v \in U_{ad} \tag{16}$$

where  $U_{ad}$  is a closed, convex set with non-empty interior, a subset of U.

Let y(x, t, v) denote the solution of (1)–(5) at (x, t) corresponding to a given control  $v \in U_{ad}$ . We note from the Theorem 1 that for any  $v \in U_{ad}$  the cost function (15) is well defined since  $y \in \mathcal{D}_{A+D_i^2}^{-1}(Q) \subset H^{-1,-2}(Q)$ .

The optimal control problem (1)–(5), (15), (16) will be solved as the optimization one in which the function v is the unknown function. Making use of Dubovitski-Milyutin theorem [10] we shall derive the necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality for the optimization problem (1)–(5), (15), (16).

The solution of the stated optimal control problem is equivalent to seeking a pair  $(y^0, v^0) \in E = \mathcal{D}_{A+D_i^2}^{-1}(Q) \times L^2(\Sigma)$  which satisfies the equation (1)–(5) and minimizing the performance functional (15) with the constraints on the control (16).

**Theorem 2** The solution of the optimization problem (1)–(5), (15), (16) exists and it is unique with the assumptions mentioned above; the necessary and sufficient conditions of the optimality are characterized by the following system of partial differential equations and inequalities.

#### State equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 y^0}{\partial t^2} + A(t)y^0 = f \qquad x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T)$$
 (17)

$$y^{0}(x,0) = y_{1}(x) \qquad x \in \Omega$$
 (18)

$$\frac{\partial y^0}{\partial t} = y_2(x) \qquad x \in \Omega \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\partial y^0}{\partial \eta_A} = \sum_{s=1}^l y^0 \left( x, t - k_s(t) \right) + G v^0 \quad x \in \Gamma, t \in (0, T)$$
 (20)

$$y^{0}(x,t') = \Psi_{0}(x,t') \qquad x \in \Gamma, t' \in [-\Delta(0),0) \quad (21)$$

#### **Adjoint equations**

$$\frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial t^{2}} + A(t)p^{0} = \lambda_{1} \Lambda_{1} \left( y^{0} - z_{d} \right) \qquad x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T)$$
(22)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_A} = \sum_{s=1}^l p(x, t + q_s(t)) [1 + q_s'(t)] \quad x \in \Gamma, t \in (0, T - \Delta(T)) \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_A} = 0 \qquad x \in \Gamma, t \in (T - \Delta(T), T) (24)$$

$$p(x,T) = 0 x \in \Omega (25)$$

$$\frac{\partial p(x,T)}{\partial t} = 0 x \in \Omega (26)$$

where  $\Lambda_1$  is a canonical isomorphism of  $H^{-1,-2}(Q)$  onto  $H^{1,2}_{0,0}(Q)$ .

#### **Maximum condition**

$$\langle G^* p(v^0) + \lambda_2 N v^0, v - v^0 \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)} \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in U_{ad}$$
 (27)

where

$$\Delta(T) = \max\left\{k_1(T), k_2(T), \dots, k_l(T)\right\}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ji}(x.t)\cos(n, x_i)\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}$$
(28)

#### Outline of the proof:

According to the Dubovitski-Milyutin theorem [10], we approximate the set representing the inequality constraints by the regular admissible cone, the equality constraint by the regular tangent cone and the performance functional by the regular improvement cone.

#### a) Analysis of the equality constraint

The set  $Q_1$  representing the equality constraint has the form

$$Q_{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}} + A(t)y = f & x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T) \\ y(x, 0) = y_{1}(x) & x \in \Omega \\ \frac{\partial y(x, 0)}{\partial t} = y_{2}(x) & x \in \Omega \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta_{A}} = \sum_{s=1}^{l} y^{0}(x, t - k_{s}(t)) + Gv & x \in \Gamma, t \in (0, T) \\ y(x, t') = \Psi_{0}(x, t') & x \in \Gamma, t' \in [-\Delta(0), 0) \end{cases}$$
(29)

We construct the regular tangent cone of the set  $Q_1$  using the Lusternik theorem (Theorem 9.1 [6]). For this purpose, we define the operator P in the form

$$P(y,v) = \left(\frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}} + Ay - f, \quad y(x,0) - y_{1}(x), \quad \frac{\partial y(x,0)}{\partial t} - y_{2}(x), \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta_{A}} - \sum_{s=1}^{l} y(x,t - k_{s}(t)) - Gv, \quad y(x,t') - \Psi_{0}(x,t')$$
(30)

The operator P is the mapping from the space  $\mathcal{D}_{A+D^2}^{-1}(Q) \times L^2(\Sigma)$  into the space

$$\Xi^{-3,-3}\left(Q\right) \times \Xi^{-3/2}\left(\Omega\right) \times \Xi^{-5/2}\left(\Omega\right) \times H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}\left(\Sigma\right) \times H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}\left(\Sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\right).$$

The Fréchet differential of the operator P can be written in the following form:

$$P'(y^{0}, v^{0})(\overline{y}, \overline{v}) = \left(\frac{\partial^{2} \overline{y}}{\partial t^{2}} + A \overline{y}, \quad \overline{y}(x, 0), \quad \frac{\partial \overline{y}(x, 0)}{\partial t}, \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{y}}{\partial \eta_{A}} - \sum_{s=1}^{l} \overline{y}(x, t - k_{s}(t)) - G \overline{v}, \quad \overline{y}|_{\Sigma_{0}}(x, t')$$
(31)

Really,  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$  (Theorem 2.8 [21]), A(t) (Theorem 2.1 [19]) and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_A}$  (Theorem 2.3 [20]) are linear and bounded mappings.

Using Theorem 1, we can prove that P' is the operator "one to one" from the space  $\mathcal{D}_{A+\mathcal{D}_{l}^{2}}^{-1}\left(Q\right)\times L^{2}\left(\Sigma\right)$  onto the space

$$\Xi^{-3,-3}\left(Q\right) \times \Xi^{-3/2}\left(\Omega\right) \times \Xi^{-5/2}\left(\Omega\right) \times H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}\left(\Sigma\right) \times H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}\left(\Sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\right).$$

Considering that the assumptions of the Lusternik's theorem are fulfilled, we can write down the regular tangent cone for the set  $Q_1$  in a point  $(y^0, v^0)$  in the form

$$RTC(Q_1, (y^0, v^0)) = ((\overline{y}, \overline{v}) \in E, P'(y^0, v^0)(\overline{y}, \overline{v}) = 0)$$
 (32)

It is easy to notice that it is a subspace. Therefore, using Theorem 10.1 [6] we know the form of the functional belonging to the adjoint cone

$$f_1(\overline{y}, \overline{v}) = 0$$
  $\forall (\overline{y}, \overline{v}) \in RTC(Q_1, (y^0, v^0))$  (33)

#### b) Analysis of the constraint on controls

The set  $Q_2 = Y \times U_{ad}$  representing the inequality constraints is a closed and convex one with non-empty interior in the space E.

Using Theorem 10.5 [6] we find the functional belonging to the adjoint regular admissible cone, i.e.

$$f_2(\overline{y}, \overline{v}) \in \left[RAC(Q_2, (y^0, v^0))\right]^*$$

We can note if  $E_1, E_2$  are two linear topological spaces, then the adjoint space to  $E = E_1 \times E_2$  has the form

$$E^* = \{f = (f_1, f_2); f_1 \in E_1^*, f_2 \in E_2^*\}$$

and

$$f(x) = f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2)$$

So we note the functional  $f_2(\overline{y}, \overline{v})$  as follows

$$f_2(\overline{y}, \overline{v}) = f_1'(\overline{y}) + f_2'(\overline{v}) \tag{34}$$

where:  $f_1'(\overline{y}) = 0 \quad \forall y \in Y \text{ (Theorem 10.1 [6])}$   $f_2'(\overline{v})$  is a support functional to the set  $U_{ad}$  in a point  $v_0$  (Theorem 10.5 [6]).

#### c) Analysis of the cost function

Using Theorem 7.5 [6] we find the regular improvement cone of the performance functional (15)

$$RFC(I,(y^0,v^0)) = \{(\overline{y},\overline{v}) \in E, \quad I'(y^0,v^0)(\overline{y},\overline{v}) < 0\}$$
 (35)

where:  $I'(y^0, v^0)(\overline{y}, \overline{v})$  is the Fréchet differential of the performance functional (15) and it can be written as

$$I'(y^{0}, v^{0})(\overline{y}, \overline{v}) = 2\lambda_{0}\lambda_{1} \left\langle \Lambda_{1}(y^{0} - z_{d}), \overline{y} \right\rangle_{H^{-1, -2}(Q)} + 2\lambda_{0}\lambda_{2} \left\langle Nv^{0}, \overline{v} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}$$

$$(36)$$

On the basis of Theorem 10.2 [6] we find the functional belonging to the adjoint regular improvement cone, which has the form

$$f_3(\overline{y}, \overline{v}) = -\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \left\langle \Lambda_1 \left( y^0 - z_d \right), \overline{y} \right\rangle_{H^{-1, 2}(Q)} - \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \left\langle N v^0, \overline{v} \right\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)}$$
(37)

where:  $\lambda_0 > 0$ .

#### d) Analysis of Euler-Lagrange's equation

The Euler-Lagrange's equation for our optimization problem has the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_i = 0 \tag{38}$$

Let p(x, t) be the solution of (22)–(26) for  $(y^0, v^0)$ . Then, p(v) is defined by transposition, i.e.

$$\langle p, y'' + Ay \rangle = M(y), \qquad \forall y \in \mathcal{D}_{A+\mathcal{D}^2}^{-1}(Q)$$
 (39)

where

$$M(y) = \langle p'' + Ap, y \rangle + \langle p, l \rangle - \langle p, q \rangle - \langle p(0), y_2 \rangle + \langle p'(0), y_1 \rangle$$

and y satisfies (1)–(5).

We observe that, for given  $z_d$  and v, equations (22)–(26) can be solved backward in time starting from t=T, i.e. first solving problem (22)–(26) in the subcylinder  $Q_1$ , and in turn in  $Q_{k-1}$  etc., until the procedure covers the whole cylinder Q. For this purpose, we may apply Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1** Let the hypothesis of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then, for given  $z_d \in H^{-1,-2}(Q)$ , and any  $v \in L^2(\Sigma)$ , there exists a unique solution

$$p(v) \in H^{3,3}(Q) \subset \Xi^{3,3}(Q)$$

to the problem (22)–(26) defined by transposition (39).

Next we denote by  $\overline{y}$  the solution of  $P'(\overline{y}, \overline{v}) = 0$  for any fixed  $\overline{v}$ . Then taking into account (33)–(34) and (37) we can express (38) in the form

$$f_{2}'(\overline{v}) = \lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}\left\langle \Lambda_{1}(y^{0} - z_{d}), \overline{y} \right\rangle_{H^{-1,-2}(Q)} + \lambda_{0}\lambda_{2}\left\langle Nv^{0}, \overline{v} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}$$

$$\forall (\overline{y}, \overline{v}) \in RTC(Q_{1}, (y^{0}, v^{0})).$$

$$(40)$$

We transform the first component of the right-hand side of (40) using the formulae (22)–(26). Then taking the scalar product of both sides of (22) by an element  $\overline{y}(v)$  respectively, we get

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{0}\lambda_{1}\left\langle \Lambda_{1}\left(y^{0}-z_{d}\right),\overline{y}\right\rangle _{H^{-1,-2}(Q)} &=\left\langle \frac{\partial^{2}p}{\partial t^{2}}+A\left(t\right)p,\overline{y}\right\rangle _{H^{-1,-2}(Q)} = \\ &=\left\langle p,\frac{\partial^{2}\overline{y}}{\partial t^{2}}\right\rangle _{H^{-3,-3}(Q)} +\left\langle A\left(t\right)p,\overline{y}\right\rangle _{H^{-1,-2}(Q)} \end{split} \tag{41}$$

Using the equation (1), the first component on the right-hand side of (41) can be expressed as

$$\left\langle p, \frac{\partial^{2} \overline{y}}{\partial t^{2}} \right\rangle_{H^{-3,-3}(Q)} = -\left\langle p, A(t), \overline{y} \right\rangle_{H^{-3,-3}(Q)} \tag{42}$$

Using Green's formula, the second component on the righthand side of (41) can be written as

$$\langle A(t) p \overline{y} \rangle_{H^{-1,-2}(Q)} = \langle p, A(t) \overline{y} \rangle_{H^{-3,-3}(Q)} +$$

$$+ \langle p, \frac{\partial \overline{y}}{\partial \eta_A} \rangle_{H^{-5/2} = {}^{-5/2}(\Sigma)} - \langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_A}, \overline{y} \rangle_{H^{-5/2} = {}^{-5/2}(\Sigma)}$$

$$(43)$$

Using the Neumann boundary condition (4), one can transform the second term on the right-hand side of (43) into the form

$$\begin{split} \left\langle p, \frac{\partial \overline{y}}{\partial \eta_A} \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)} &= \sum_{s=1}^{l} \left\langle p, \overline{y} \left( x, t - k_s \left( t \right) \right) \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)} + \\ &\quad + \left\langle p, G \overline{v} \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)} &= \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{l} \left\langle p \left( x, t + q_s \left( t \right) \right) \left( 1 + q_s' \left( t \right) \right), \overline{y} \left( x, t \right) \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}\left[\Gamma \times \left( -\Delta \left( 0 \right), T - \Delta \left( T \right) \right)\right]} + \\ &\quad + \left\langle p, G \overline{v} \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)} \end{split}$$

The last component in (43) may be rewritten as

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_{A}}, \overline{y} \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2} \Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)} = \left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_{A}}, \overline{y} \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2} \Xi^{-5/2}\left[\Gamma \times (0, T - \Delta(T))\right]} +$$

$$+ \left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_{A}}, \overline{y} \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2} \Xi^{-5/2}\left[\Gamma \times (T - \Delta(T), T)\right]} \tag{45}$$

Substituting (44) and (45) into (43) and then (42) and (43) into (41) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lambda_0\lambda_1 \Big\langle \Lambda_1 \Big( y^0 - z_d \Big), \overline{y} \Big\rangle_{H^{-1,-2}(Q)} &= - \Big\langle p, A(t) \overline{y} \Big\rangle_{H^{-3-3}(Q)} + \Big\langle p, A(t) \overline{y} \Big\rangle_{H^{-3-3}(Q)} + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^l \Big\langle p \Big( x, t + q_s \big( t \big) \Big) \Big( 1 + q_s' \big( t \big) \Big), \overline{y} \Big\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2} \left[ \Gamma \times (-\Delta(0), 0) \right]} + \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^l \Big\langle p \Big( x, t + q_s \big( t \big) \Big) \Big( 1 + q_s' \big( t \big) \Big), \overline{y} \Big\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2} \left[ \Gamma \times (0, T - \Delta(T)) \right]} + \\ &+ \Big\langle p, G \overline{v} \Big\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)} - \left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_A}, \overline{y} \right\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2} \left[ \Gamma \times (0, T - \Delta(T)) \right]} - \\ &- \Big\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_A}, \overline{y} \Big\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2} \left[ \Gamma \times (T - \Delta(T), T) \right]} = \\ &= \Big\langle p, G \overline{v} \Big\rangle_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)} = \Big\langle G^* p, \overline{v} \Big\rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)} \end{split}$$

Substituting (46) into (40) gives

$$f_2'(\overline{v}) = \lambda_0 \langle G^* p + \lambda_2 N v^0, \overline{v} \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma)}$$
(47)

(46)

Using the definition of the support functional [6] and dividing both sides of the obtained inequality by  $\lambda_0$ , we finally get

$$\left\langle G^* p(v^0) + \lambda_2 N v^0, v - v^0 \right\rangle_{l^2(\Sigma)} \ge \qquad \forall v \in U_{ad}$$
 (48)

The last inequality is equivalent to the maximum condition (27).

The uniqueness of the optimal control follows from the strict convexity of the performance functional (15).

This last remark finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

One may also consider analogous optimal control problem with the performance functional

$$\hat{I}\left(y,v\right) = \lambda_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 1} \left\| y\left(v\right) \right|_{\scriptscriptstyle \Sigma} - z_{\scriptscriptstyle \Sigma} \, \left\|_{H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}\left(\Sigma\right)}^2 + \lambda_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 2} \left\langle \left(Nv\right),v\right\rangle_{L^2\left(\Sigma\right)} \tag{49}$$

where:  $z_{\Sigma d}$  is a given element in  $H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)$ ; we assume that the space  $H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)$  is such that  $y(v)|_{\Sigma} \in H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)$ . Then the solution of the formulated optimal control problem is equivalent to seeking a pair

$$\langle y^0, v^0 \rangle \in E = \mathcal{D}_{A+\mathcal{D}_t}^{-1}(Q) \times L^2(\Sigma)$$

that satisfies the equation (1)–(5) and minimizing the cost function (49) with the constraints on boundary control (16). We can prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3** The solution of the optimization problems (1)–(5), (49), (16) exists and it is unique with the assumptions mentioned above; the necessary and sufficient conditions of the optimality are characterized by the following system of partial differential equations and inequalities:

### State equation (1)–(5), Adjoint equations

(44)

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} + A(t) p = 0 x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T)$$
 (50)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_{A}} = \sum_{s=1}^{l} p(x, t + q_{s}(t)) [1 + q'_{s}(t)] + \lambda_{0} \Lambda_{2} (y^{0} \mid_{\Sigma} -z_{\Sigma d})$$

$$x \in \Gamma, t \in (0, T - \Delta(T))$$
(51)

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta_{A}} = \lambda_{0} \Lambda_{2} \left( y^{0} \mid_{\Sigma} - z_{\Sigma d} \right) \quad x \in \Gamma, \, t \in \left( T - \Delta \left( T \right), T \right)$$
(52)

$$p(x,T) = 0 z \in \Omega (53)$$

$$\frac{\partial p(x,T)}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad x \in \Omega \tag{54}$$

where:  $\Lambda_2$  is a canonical isomorphism of  $H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)$  into  $H^{5/2}\Xi^{5/2}(\Sigma)$ .

#### **Maximum condition**

$$\langle G^* p(v^0) + \lambda_2 N v^0, v - v^0 \rangle \ge 0 \qquad \forall v \in U_{ad}$$
 (55)

Moreover, it can be proved the following result.

**Lemma 2** Let the hypothesis of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then, for given  $z_d \in H^{-5/2}\Xi^{-5/2}(\Sigma)$  and any  $v \in L^2(\Sigma)$ , there exists a unique solution  $p(v) \in H^{3,3}(Q) \subset \Xi^{3,3}(Q)$  to the problem (50)–(54) defined by transposition (39).

The idea of the proof of the Theorem 3 is the same as in the case of the Theorem 2.

In the case of performance functionals (15) and (49) with  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , the optimal control problem reduces to the minimizing of the functional on a closed and convex subset in a Hilbert space. Then, the optimization problem is equivalent to a quadratic programming one ([15, 18] which can be solved by the use of the well-known algorithms, e.g. Gilbert's [5, 15, 18]).

#### 4. Conclusions and Perspectives

The derived conditions of the optimality (Theorems 2 and 3) are original from the point of view of application of the Dubovitski-Milyutin theorem [10] in solving optimal boundary control problems for second order hyperbolic systems in which multiple time-varying lags appear in the Neumann boundary conditions. The existence and uniqueness of solutions for such hyperbolic systems are presented – Theorem 1. The optimal control is characterized by using the adjoint equations - Lemmas 1 and 2. Necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality with the quadratic performance functionals (15) and (49) and constrained control (16) are derived for the Neumann problem (Theorems 2 and 3). Moreover, the optimization problems presented here constitute a generalization of optimal control problems considered in [16, 17] for hyperbolic systems with constant and time-varying lags appearing in the Neumann boundary conditions. The proposed methodology based on the Dubovitski-Milyutin scheme can be presented as a specific case study concerning hyperbolic systems with the Neumann boundary conditions involving integral time delays. The same procedure can be applied to solving optimal control problems for non-linear hyperbolic systems. Another direction of research will be numerical examples concerning the determination of optimal boundary control with constraints for multiple time-varying delay hyperbolic systems. Such problems can be solved using control synthesis methods [1, 22].

#### References

 Baranowski J., Mitkowski W., Stabilization of LC ladder network with the help of delayed output feedback. "Control and Cybernetics", Vol. 41, No. 1, 2012, 13–34.

- 2. Dubovitski A.Ya., Milyutin A.A., *The extremum problem* in the presence of constraints. "Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR", Vol. 149, No. 4, 1963, 759–762.
- Dubovitski A.Ya., Milyutin A.A., Some optimum problems for linear systems. "Avtomatika i Telemekhanika", Vol. 24, No. 12, 1963, 1616–1625.
- 4. Dubovitski A.Ya., Milyutin A.A., Second variations in extremum problems with constraints. "Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR", Vol. 160, No. 1, 1965, 18–21.
- Gilbert E.S., An iterative procedure for computing the minimum of a quadratic form on a convex set. "SIAM Journal on Control", Vol. 4, No. 1, 1966, 61–80.
   DOI: 10.1137/0304007.
- 6. Girsanov I.V., Lectures on the Mathematical Theory of Extremum Problems, Publishing House of the University of Moscow, Moscow, 1970 (in Russian).
- Kowalewski A., On optimal control problem for parabolic--hyperbolic system. "Problems of Control and Information Theory", Vol. 15, No. 5, 1986, 349–359.
- Kowalewski A., Miśkowicz M., Extremal problems for time lag parabolic systems. Proceedings of the 21st International Conference of Process Control (PC), 446–451, Strbske Pleso, Slovakia, June 6-9, 2017, DOI: 10.1109/PC.2017.7976255.
- Kowalewski A., Extremal Problems for Distributed Parabolic Systems with Boundary Conditions involving Time--Varying Lags. Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics (MMAR), 447–452, Międzyzdroje, Poland, August 28-31, 2017, DOI: 10.1109/MMAR.2017.8046869.
- 10. Kowalewski A., Extremal problems for parabolic systems with time-varying lags. "Archives of Control Sciences", Vol. 28, No. 1, 2018, 89–104, DOI: 10.24425/119078.
- Kowalewski A., Extremal problems for infinite order parabolic systems with time-varying lags. "Advances Intelligent and Soft Computing", Vol. 1196, 2020, 3–15, Springer Nature Switzerland AG, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-50936-1\_1.
- Kowalewski A., Extremal problems for parabolic systems with multiple time-varying lags. Proceedings of 23rd International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics (MMAR), 791–796, Międzyzdroje, Poland, August 27–30, 2018, DOI: 10.1109/MMAR.2018.8485815.
- Kowalewski A., Miśkowicz M., Extremal problems for integral time lag parabolic systems. Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics (MMAR), 7–12, Międzyzdroje, Poland, August 26–29, 2019, DOI: 10.1109/MMAR.2019.8864638.
- Kowalewski A., Miśkowicz M., Extremal problems for infinite order parabolic systems with boundary conditions involving integral time lags. "Pomiary Automatyka Robotyka", Vol. 26, No. 4, 2022, 37–42, DOI: 10.14313/PAR\_246/37.
- 15. Kowalewski A., Duda J., On some optimal control problem for a parabolic system with boundary condition involving a time-varying lag. "IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information", Vol. 9, No. 2, 1992, 131–146, DOI: 10.1093/imamci/9.2.131.
- Kowalewski A., Extremal problems for time lag hyperbolic systems. Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics (MMAR), 245–250, Międzyzdroje, Poland, August 23–26, 2021, DOI: 10.1109/MMAR49549.2021.9528456.
- 17. Kowalewski A., Extremal problems for hyperbolic systems with boundary conditions involving time-varying delays. Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics

- (MMAR), 122–127, Międzyzdroje, Poland, August 22–25, 2022, DOI: 10.1109/MMAR55195.2022.9874285.
- 18. Kowalewski A., Optimal Control of Infinite Dimensional Distributed Parameter Systems with Delays. AGH University of Science and Technology Press, Cracow, 2001.
- Lions J.L., Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- Lions J.L., Magenes E., Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications, Vols. 1 and 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- Maslov V.P., Operators Methods, Publisher "Science", Moscow, 1973 (in Russian).
- 22. Mitkowski W., Remarks about Controllability of Hyperbolic System. XVIII Krajowa Konferencja Automatyki, Wrocław 8–10.09.2014, CD, position 85 (7 pages).

### Problemy ekstremalne dla systemów hiperbolicznych drugiego rzędu z warunkami brzegowymi, w których występują wielokrotne zmienne opóźnienia czasowe

Streszczenie: Zaprezentowano ekstremalne problemy dla systemów hiperbolicznych z wielokrotnymi zmiennymi opóźnieniami czasowymi. Rozwiązano problem optymalnego sterowania brzegowego dla systemów hiperbolicznych drugiego rzędu, w których wielokrotne zmienne opóźnienia czasowe występują w warunkach brzegowych typu Neumanna. Tego rodzaju równania stanowią w liniowym przybliżeniu uniwersalny model matematyczny procesów fizycznych, w których ma miejsce przesyłanie sygnałów na odległość w liniach długich typu elektrycznego, hydraulicznego i innych. Korzystając z metody Dubowickiego-Milutina wyprowadzono warunki konieczne i wystarczające optymalności dla problemu liniowo-kwadratowego.

Słowa kluczowe: sterowanie brzegowe, systemy hiperboliczne drugiego rzędu, wielokrotne zmienne opóźnienia czasowe

#### Prof. Adam Kowalewski, PhD, DSc, Eng.

ako@agh.edu.pl

ORCID: 0000-0001-5792-2039

Adam Kowalewski was born in Cracow, Poland, in 1949. He received his M.Sc. degree in electrical engineering and his Ph.D. and D.Sc. degrees in control engineering from AGH University of Science and Technology in Cracow in 1972, 1977 and 1992 respectively. At present he is Professor of Automatic Control and Optimization Theory at the Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Automatics, Computer Science and Biomedical Engineering at AGH University of Science and Technology. His research and teaching interests include control and



optimization theory, biocybernetics and signal analysis and processing. He has held numerous visiting positions,including Visiting Researcher at the International Centre for Pure and Applied Mathematics in Nice, France, International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, Italy, Scuola Normale Superiore in Pisa, Italy and the Department of Mathematics at the University of Warwick in Coventry, Great Britain.